

Ampere – Maxwell Law

In integral form, Ampere's law is:

$$\oint \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \mu_0 I_{\text{enclosed}}$$

If we set the current through some surface equal to the current density times the normal vector of that surface, $\mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}$, we can rewrite Ampere's law as:

$$\oint \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \mu_0 \iint \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

By Stokes' theorem, we can replace the left-hand side of this equation with:

$$\iint \text{curl } \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} \quad \text{or} \quad \iint \text{curl } \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Leading to

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \cdot \mathbf{J}$$

which is Ampere's law in differential form.

Now suppose we have a system where various concentrations of electric charge are moving about space as time passes. We define volumetric charge density as $\rho = \frac{Q}{V}$ making $dQ = \rho \cdot dV$.

Therefore,
$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint \rho \cdot dV = \iiint \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \cdot dV$$

And above, we just used
$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \iint \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Setting them equal to each other gives us
$$\iiint \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \cdot dV = - \oiint \mathbf{J} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot d\mathbf{S}$$

Negative because if there positive current flow out of the surface, $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$ is negative.

And the right side, by the divergence theorem, is
$$- \iiint (\text{div } \mathbf{J}) \cdot dV$$

So that
$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\text{div } \mathbf{J}$$

The problem is that we saw, back in unit 23, that $\text{div}(\text{curl } \mathbf{B}) = 0$ is always true.

But now we have $-\text{div } \mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$ with $\text{curl } \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \cdot \mathbf{J}$ so that $\text{div}(\text{curl } \mathbf{B}) = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$

We need to add a term involving $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$ to the right side of $\text{curl } \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \cdot \mathbf{J}$ so that $\text{div}(\text{curl } \mathbf{B}) = 0$.

Let's try using Gauss's law for electricity in the differential form, $\rho = \epsilon_0 \cdot \text{div} \mathbf{E}$.

$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \epsilon_0 \cdot \text{div} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$ which gives us, if $-\text{div } \mathbf{J} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$

the result $\text{div } \mathbf{J} + \epsilon_0 \cdot \text{div} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = 0$ which is simplified to $\text{div}(\mathbf{J} + \epsilon_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}) = 0$

With this addition to Ampere's law by Maxwell, the full Ampere-Maxwell law is then:

$$\text{curl } \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \cdot \epsilon_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$

In summary, we have what are called Maxwell's equations:

Gauss's law for electricity: $\text{div } \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}$

Gauss's law for magnetism: $\text{div } \mathbf{B} = 0$

Faraday's law of induction: $\text{curl } \mathbf{E} = - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$

Ampere-Maxwell law: $\text{curl } \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \cdot \mathbf{J} + \mu_0 \cdot \epsilon_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$